

A lengthy analysis is not required to dispose of this motion as the matter is adequately briefed, the undersigned heard from counsel at a hearing on the record, and Magistrate Judge Howell exhaustively summarized the case in his recent Memorandum and Recommendation (Document No. 52) related to the suppression issue. Put simply, the Government argues here that proceedings on the suppression issue should be stayed pending action on the Government's request for a competency evaluation. Defendant opposes the motion to stay and asks that the case continue forward on both issues at the same time.

The Court respectfully disagrees with the Government's argument here – especially as to judicial economy. The Court would remind the parties that Defendant was indicted in this matter on August 16, 2017, he is being detained pending resolution of the charges, and Judge Howell has recommended granting a motion to suppress that may do significant damage to the Government's case. Whatever the Court may do on the competency issue, the Court believes that both the Court and counsel can walk and chew gum at the same time. In fairness to Defendant, litigation over the suppression issue must continue without a stay. The Government's motion is denied.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the "Government's Motion For A Stay In Proceedings Pending Defendant's Psychological Evaluation" (Document No. 55) is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Government shall file its Objections to Judge Howell's Memorandum And Recommendation (Document No. 52) on or before **November 29, 2018**; Defendant's responses to the government's Objections shall be filed within fourteen (14) days of service.

SO ORDERED.

Signed: November 14, 2018



David C. Keesler
United States Magistrate Judge

